



OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
STATE OF ILLINOIS

Lisa Madigan
ATTORNEY GENERAL

May 2, 2011

Nicole Wickham
Aurora Police Department
1200 East Indian Trail Road
Aurora, IL 60505

RE: FOIA Pre-Authorization Request - 2011 PAC 13786

Dear Ms. Wickham:

We have received and reviewed the written notice from the Aurora Police Department of its intention to deny certain information as exempt from disclosure under section 7(1)(c) of the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) (5 ILCS 140/7(1)(c) (West 2009 Supp.), as amended by Public Act 96-1378, effective July 29, 2010). Aurora Police Department is seeking to redact dates of birth from the requested documents.

██████████ submitted a FOIA request for Police Report #U100334073 on April 7, 2011. In its written notice, the Aurora Police Department asserts that dates of birth are exempt from disclosure under section 7(1)(c) of FOIA.

Section 7(1)(c) of FOIA exempts from inspection and copying "[p]ersonal information contained within public records, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, unless the disclosure is consented to in writing by the individual subjects of the information." The exemption defines "unwarranted invasion of personal privacy" as "the disclosure of information that is highly personal or objectionable to a reasonable person and in which the subject's right to privacy outweighs any legitimate public interest in obtaining the information." 5 ILCS 140/7(1)(c) (West 2009 Supp.), as amended by Public Act 96-1378, effective July 29, 2010.

DETERMINATION

The Aurora Police Department's use of the exemption in section 7(1)(c) for the dates of birth is **approved**. We have determined that the Aurora Police Department has met its initial burden to demonstrate that the disclosure of dates of birth would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This type of information is highly personal by its very nature and the subject's right to privacy outweighs any legitimate public interest in disclosing

Nicole Wickham
May 2, 2011
Page 2

this information. *See, e.g., Oliva v. United States*, 756 F. Supp. 105, 107 (E.D.N.Y. 1991) (holding that, under Exemption 6 of the Federal Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. §552(b)(6)), "dates of birth[] are a private matter, particularly when coupled with * * * other information" and "would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy"); *Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts v. Attorney General of Texas*, ___ S.W.3d ___, 54 Tex. Sup. Ct. J. 245 (2010) (state employees have a "nontrivial privacy interest" in their dates of birth under the Texas Public Information Act (*see* Tex. Gov't Code §§552.101, 552.102), which substantially outweighs the negligible public interest in disclosure).

Accordingly, the Aurora Police Department may issue a partial denial letter and release the reports with the dates of birth redacted, if it has not already done so.

If you have any questions, please contact Tammy Friedewald at (217) 558-1926. This correspondence shall serve to close this matter.

Very truly yours,

Amanda Lundeen
JLF

AMANDA M. LUNDEEN
Assistant Attorney General
Public Access Bureau

cc:

