



OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL  
STATE OF ILLINOIS

Lisa Madigan  
ATTORNEY GENERAL

June 29, 2011

Ms. Heather Kruse  
FOIA Officer  
City of Des Plaines  
City Manager's Office, Legal Division  
1420 Miner Street  
Des Plaines, IL 60016

RE: FOIA Pre-Authorization Request - 2011 PAC 14870

Dear Ms. Kruse:

We have received and reviewed the written notice from the City of Des Plaines of its intention to deny disclosure of certain information under section 7(1)(c) of the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) (5 ILCS 140/7(1)(c) (West 2009 Supp.), as amended by Public Act 96-1378, effective July 29, 2010). The City is seeking to redact dates of birth from the requested documents.

On June 7, 2011, [REDACTED] submitted a FOIA request for the Des Plaines Police Department Criminal Offense Report identified by RD 11-18792 and all related records. In its written notice, the City asserts that dates of birth are exempt from disclosure under section 7(1)(c) of FOIA.

Section 7(1)(c) of FOIA exempts from inspection and copying "[p]ersonal information contained within public records, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, unless the disclosure is consented to in writing by the individual subjects of the information." The exemption defines "unwarranted invasion of personal privacy" as "the disclosure of information that is highly personal or objectionable to a reasonable person and in which the subject's right to privacy outweighs any legitimate public interest in obtaining the information." 5 ILCS 140/7(1)(c) (West 2009 Supp.), as amended by Public Act 96-1378, effective July 29, 2010.

**DETERMINATION**

The City's use of the exemption in section 7(1)(c) for the dates of birth is **approved**. We have determined that the City has met its initial burden of demonstrating that the disclosure of dates of birth would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This type of information is highly personal by its very nature and the subject's right to privacy outweighs any

Ms. Heather Kruse  
June 29, 2011  
Page 2

legitimate public interest in disclosing this information. *See, e.g., Oliva v. United States*, 756 F. Supp. 105, 107 (E.D.N.Y. 1991) (holding that, under Exemption 6 of the Federal Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. §552(b)(6)), "dates of birth[ ] are a private matter, particularly when coupled with \* \* \* other information" and "would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy"); *Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts v. Attorney General of Texas*, \_\_\_ S.W.3d \_\_\_, 54 Tex. Sup. Ct. J. 245 (2010) (state employees have a "nontrivial privacy interest" in their dates of birth under the Texas Public Information Act (*see* Tex. Gov't Code §§552.101, 552.102), which substantially outweighs the negligible public interest in disclosure).

Accordingly, the City may issue a partial denial letter and release the report with the dates of birth redacted, if it has not already done so.

The City also indicates that it intends to redact additional information from the records pursuant to section 7(1)(b) (5 ILCS 140/7(1)(b) (West 2009 Supp.), as amended by Public Act 96-1378, effective July 29, 2010) of FOIA. Because advance approval by the Public Access Counselor is not required for the assertion of exemptions other than sections 7(1)(c) and 7(1)(f) (5 ILCS 140/7(1)(f) (West 2009 Supp.), as amended by Public Act 96-1378, effective July 29, 2010), we make no determination at this time regarding the applicability of any other exemptions.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (877) 299-3642. This correspondence shall serve to close this matter.

Very truly yours,



DUSHYANTH REDDIVARI  
Assistant Attorney General  
Public Access Bureau

14870 Pre-auth al 71c dob mun

cc:

