



OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
STATE OF ILLINOIS

Lisa Madigan
ATTORNEY GENERAL

June 20, 2011

Ms. Janet H. Binder
FOIA Officer
Village of Villa Park
20 S. Ardmore Avenue
Villa Park, IL 60181-2610

RE: FOIA Pre-Authorization Request - 2011 PAC 8736

Dear Ms. Binder:

We have received and reviewed the written notice from the Village of Villa Park of its intention to deny disclosure of certain information under section 7(1)(c) of the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) (5 ILCS 140/7(1)(c) (West 2009 Supp.), as amended by Public Act 96-1378, effective July 29, 2010). The Village is seeking to redact dates of birth and the name of the victim's child from the requested documents.

On July 20, 2010, [REDACTED] submitted a FOIA request for police report numbers 100717012891 and 090726015456, as well as any reports involving [REDACTED] [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] from February 1, 2010 to July 18, 2010. In its written notice, the Village asserts that dates of birth and the name of the victim's child are exempt from disclosure under section 7(1)(c) of FOIA.

Section 7(1)(c) of FOIA exempts from inspection and copying "[p]ersonal information contained within public records, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, unless the disclosure is consented to in writing by the individual subjects of the information." The exemption defines "unwarranted invasion of personal privacy" as "the disclosure of information that is highly personal or objectionable to a reasonable person and in which the subject's right to privacy outweighs any legitimate public interest in obtaining the information." 5 ILCS 140/7(1)(c) (West 2009 Supp.), as amended by Public Act 96-1378, effective July 29, 2010.

DETERMINATION

Ms. Janet H. Binder
June 20, 2011
Page 2

The Village's use of the exemption in section 7(1)(c) for the dates of birth is **approved**. We have determined that the Village has met its initial burden of demonstrating that the disclosure of dates of birth would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This type of information is highly personal by its very nature and the subject's right to privacy outweighs any legitimate public interest in disclosing this information. *See, e.g., Oliva v. United States*, 756 F. Supp. 105, 107 (E.D.N.Y. 1991) (holding that, under Exemption 6 of the Federal Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. §552(b)(6)), "dates of birth[] are a private matter, particularly when coupled with * * * other information" and "would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy"); *Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts v. Attorney General of Texas*, ___ S.W.3d ___, 54 Tex. Sup. Ct. J. 245 (2010) (state employees have a "nontrivial privacy interest" in their dates of birth under the Texas Public Information Act (*see* Tex. Gov't Code §§552.101, 552.102), which substantially outweighs the negligible public interest in disclosure).

Additionally, the Village's use of section 7(1)(c) to redact the name of the victim's child is **approved**. Under these circumstances, the victim and the victim's child's right to privacy outweighs any legitimate public interest in this information. Therefore, we conclude that the Department has met its initial burden of demonstrating that the disclosure of this information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Accordingly, the Village may issue a partial denial letter and release the reports with the juvenile name and dates of birth redacted, if it has not already done so.

If you have any questions, please contact Andres Acosta at (877) 299-3642. This correspondence shall serve to close this matter.

Very truly yours,



REBECCA RIDDICK
Assistant Attorney General
Public Access Bureau

8736 pre-auth al 71c vic priv dob mun

RR:jh
cc:

